

## **REMARKS**

Reconsideration of this application is requested in view of the amendments to the claims and the remarks presented herein.

The claims in the application are claims 1 to 9 and 12 to 15, all other claims having been cancelled. Claim 16, which was objected to as being a substantial duplicate of claim has been cancelled.

Claims 1 to 9 and 12 to 16 were rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite in the term “preferably” in the definition of  $Z_p$ . The present amendment deletes the term “preferably” therefore obviating this ground of rejection.

All of the claims were rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness type double patenting over the claims of U.S. Patent No. 5,339,091 and Patent No. 5,981,542. Even though restriction was required in those two cases, Applicants are submitting herewith a terminal disclaimer to obviate this ground of rejection.

In view of the amendments to the claims and the above remarks, it is believed that the claims clearly point out Applicants' patentable contribution and favorable reconsideration of the application is requested.

Respectfully submitted,  
Muserlian, Lucas and Mercanti



---

Charles A. Muserlian, 19,683  
Attorney for Applicants  
Tel.# (212) 661-8000

CAM:ds  
Enclosures